This article was downloaded by: [The University of Manchester] On: 17 October 2008 Access details: Access Details: [subscription number 773564140] Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



**To cite this Article** Popov, A. M., Lozovik, Y. E., Bichoutskaia, E., Ivanchenko, G. S., Lebedev, N. G. and Krivorotov, E. K.(2008)'Electromechanical Nanothermometer Based on Carbon Nanotubes', Fullerenes, Nanotubes and Carbon Nanostructures, 16:5,352 — 356

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/15363830802225317 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15363830802225317

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



## Electromechanical Nanothermometer Based on Carbon Nanotubes

A. M. Popov,<sup>1</sup> Y. E. Lozovik,<sup>1</sup> E. Bichoutskaia,<sup>2</sup> G. S. Ivanchenko,<sup>3</sup> N. G. Lebedev,<sup>3</sup> and E. K. Krivorotov<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Spectroscopy of Russian Academy of Science, Troitsk, Russia <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK <sup>3</sup>Volgograd State University, Volgograd, Russia <sup>4</sup>Moscow State Pedagogical University, Moscow, Russia

**Abstract:** Electromechanical nanothermometer based on the interaction and relative motion walls of the (n,n)@(m,m) double-walled carbon nanotubes (DWNTs) is considered. Temperature measurements are carried out through the measurements of the conductivity. The dependence of the interwall interaction energy on the relative displacement of the walls of the DWNTs is computed *ab initio* using density functional theory. The conductivity of the DWNTs is calculated within the Huckel-Hubbard model. The operational characteristics of the nanothermometer are calculated.

Keywords: NEMS, Nanotube, Nanodevice, Nanothermometer

With considerable progress in nanotechnology techniques, a number of feasible designs of nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMS) have recently evolved, in which the elements of electric circuits represent nanoobjects of progressively smaller scale (1, 2). Recently we have proposed a new concept of an electromechanical nanothermometer based on the interaction and relative motion of the components of a nanosystem (3, 4). It rests on the measurements of the conductivity of the components which, under the certain conditions, depends on their relative positions. The total conductivity of a system then changes significantly with the temperature due to the thermal vibrations of the components. The possibility of the

Address correspondence to A. M. Popov, Institute of Spectroscopy of Russian Academy of Science, Troitsk, 142190, Russia. E-mail: popov@ttk.ru

#### Electromechanical Nanothermometer Based on Carbon Nanotubes

general concept was considered on example of (6,6)@(11,11) double-walled nanotube (DWNT) (3, 4). Figure 1 is a schematic that shows a shuttle nanothermometer with a short movable outer wall (the shuttle) (1A) and a telescopic nanothermometer with a movable inner wall (1B). Here we calculate the operational characteristics of the nanothermometers based on (n,n)@(m,m) DWNTs.

Conductivity of the DWNTs with fixed relative positions of walls is calculated within the Huckel-Hubbard model (see details of calculations in (5)). A very weak temperature dependence of the conductivity above 50K was found (see Figure 2). This implies that the contributions to the



*Figure 1.* Schematic of an electromechanical nanothermometer. A: the shuttle nanothermometer with the movable outer wall, B: the telescopic nanothermometer with the movable inner wall. The movable wall is indicated as (1), the fixed wall as (2) and the attached electrodes as (3).



*Figure 2.* The conductivity G (in Sm/m) as a function of the temperature T (in Kelvin degrees) calculated for different (n,n)@(m,m) DWNTs: (4,4)@(10,10) DWNT (filled squares), (5,5)@(10,10) DWNT (filled circles) (5,5)@(11,11) DWNT (filled triangles), (6,6)@(11,11) DWNT (open squares), (6,6)@(12,12) DWNT (open circles) and (7,7)@(12,12) DWNT (open triangles). The length of the movable wall is equal to 100 lengths of the unit cell of the DWNT.

total conductivity from phenomena other than the thermal vibration of the walls are insignificant.

For the shuttle nanothermometer design (Figure 1A), the displacement d of the shuttle (1) must be less than the distance  $L_{es}$  between the electrode (3) and the shuttle in any given time t of the operation of the nanothermometer, that is,

$$d = \sqrt{2Dt} < L_{es} \tag{1}$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient for the motion of the shuttle along the fixed wall (2). Expression for the diffusion coefficient D has been given in (6) as follows

$$D = A \exp\left(-\frac{BL}{kT}\right), A = \pi \delta_z \sqrt{\frac{\Delta U_z}{2m}}, B = \frac{\Delta U_z N_a}{l_m}, \qquad (2)$$

where *m* is the mass of carbon atom,  $\Delta U_z$  and  $\delta_z$  are the energy barrier and period of sliding of the walls between the equivalent positions,  $N_a$  is the number of atoms in the unit cell of the shuttle,  $l_m$  is the length of the unit cell of the shuttle and *L* is the length of the shuttle. Thus, the length *L* of the shuttle can be estimated as

$$L = \frac{T}{B} \ln\left(\frac{2At}{L_{es}^2}\right),\tag{3}$$

and the total length  $L_{nt}$  of the nanothermometer between the electrodes as

$$L_{nt} = \frac{T}{B} \ln\left(\frac{2At}{L_{es}^2}\right) + 2L_{es},\tag{4}$$

The total length of the nanothermometer is minimal if  $L_{es} = T/B$ . This condition for the minimum total length of the nanothermometer does not depend on the time of its operation.

The barriers  $\Delta U_z$  are computed *ab initio* using density functional theory (see details of calculations in (7)). The parameters A and B for the considered DWNTs are calculated using the ab initio values of the barriers. The dimensions of the nanothermemeter have been estimated using equations (3) and (4).

Table 1 presents the lengths of the construction units of the nanothermometer, which operates at the temperatures T = 100K and T = 300K in two different regimes: the permanent mode of the operation during 100 years and the pulse mode with the time of the operation of  $10^{-6}$  sec. Table 1 shows that the nanothermometers are of a true nanometer size, which is governed merely by the length needed to attach the electrodes.

**Table 1.** Characteristic sizes of the nanothermometers based on the (n,n)@(m,m) DWNTs with the movable outer wall (shuttle):  $L_{nt}$  is the minimal total lengths between the electrodes, *Les* is the distance between the electrode (3) and the shuttle and L is the length of shuttle. *T* is the temperature of the operation and *t* is the time of the operation

			T=100k		
-	L <sub>es</sub> ,nm	$t=10^{-6} \sec$		t=100 years	
Nanotube		L,nm	L <sub>nt</sub> ,nm	L,nm	L <sub>nt</sub> ,nm
(4,4)@(10,10)	$2.9 \pm 1.1$	$15\pm7.0$	$21 \pm 7.0$	$120\pm45$	$125\pm45$
(5,5)@(11,11)	$2.0 \pm 0.5$	$12 \pm 3$	$16 \pm 3$	$80 \pm 21$	$85 \pm 21$
(6,6)@(12,12)	$1.4 \pm 0.3$	$10.1 \pm 2.0$	$12.9 \pm 2.0$	$60 \pm 11$	$63 \pm 11$
(5,5)@(10,10)	$0.36 \pm 0.02$	$4.2 \pm 0.2$	$4.9 \pm 0.2$	$17.0 \pm 0.8$	$18.0 \pm 0.8$
(6,6)@(11,11)	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	$3.2 \pm 0.1$	$3.8 \pm 0.1$	$12,8 \pm 0.5$	$13.0 \pm 0.5$
(7,7)@(12,12)	$0.19\pm0.01$	$2.50\pm0.07$	$2.90 \pm 0.07$	$9.7 \pm 0.3$	$10.0\pm0.3$
	T=300k				
			T=300k		
	L <sub>es,</sub> nm	$t = 10^{-6}$	T=300k sec	t=100	years
Nanotube	L <sub>es,</sub> nm	t=10 <sup>-6</sup> L,nm	T=300k sec L <sub>nt</sub> ,nm	t=100 L,nm	years L <sub>nt</sub> ,nm
Nanotube (4,4)@(10,10)	$L_{es,nm}$ 8.8±3.4	$t=10^{-6}$ L,nm 27.1±15.1	$T=300k$ sec $L_{nt},nm$ $44\pm15$	$t=100$ L,nm $340\pm130$	years $L_{nt}$ ,nm $350 \pm 130$
Nanotube (4,4)@(10,10) (5,5)@(11,11)	$L_{es,nm}$ 8.8±3.4 5.9±1.5	$t=10^{-6}$ L,nm 27.1 ± 15.1 24 ± 8	$\frac{T=300k}{sec}$ $\frac{L_{nt},nm}{44\pm15}$ $35\pm8$	t=100 L,nm $340\pm130$ $240\pm60$	years $\frac{L_{nt},nm}{350\pm130}$ $240\pm60$
Nanotube (4,4)@(10,10) (5,5)@(11,11) (6,6)@(12,12)	$\begin{array}{c} L_{es,}nm\\ \hline 8.8\pm 3.4\\ 5.9\pm 1.5\\ 4.2\pm 0.8 \end{array}$	$t = 10^{-6}$ L,nm 27.1 ± 15.1 24 ± 8 21 ± 4.5	$     T=300k     sec     Lnt,nm     44 \pm 15     35 \pm 8     29 \pm 4.5     $	t=100 L,nm $340 \pm 130$ $240 \pm 60$ $170 \pm 30$	years $L_{nt},nm$ $350 \pm 130$ $240 \pm 60$ $180 \pm 30$
Nanotube (4,4)@(10,10) (5,5)@(11,11) (6,6)@(12,12) (5,5)@(10,10)	$\begin{array}{c} L_{es,}nm\\ \hline 8.8\pm 3.4\\ 5.9\pm 1.5\\ 4.2\pm 0.8\\ 1.10\pm 0.05 \end{array}$	$t=10^{-6}$ L,nm 27.1 ± 15.1 24 ± 8 21 ± 4.5 10.2 ± 0.5	$ \begin{array}{c} T=300k \\ \hline \\ sec \\ \hline \\ L_{nt},nm \\ 44\pm 15 \\ 35\pm 8 \\ 29\pm 4.5 \\ 12.4\pm 0.5 \\ \end{array} $	$t=100$ L,nm $340 \pm 130$ $240 \pm 60$ $170 \pm 30$ $49.4 \pm 2.4$	years $L_{nt},nm$ $350 \pm 130$ $240 \pm 60$ $180 \pm 30$ $51.6 \pm 2.4$
Nanotube (4,4)@(10,10) (5,5)@(11,11) (6,6)@(12,12) (5,5)@(10,10) (6,6)@(11,11)	$\begin{array}{c} L_{es,}nm\\ \hline 8.8\pm 3.4\\ 5.9\pm 1.5\\ 4.2\pm 0.8\\ 1.10\pm 0.05\\ 0.80\pm 0.02\\ \end{array}$	$t=10^{-6}$ L,nm 27.1 ± 15.1 24 ± 8 21 ± 4.5 10.2 ± 0.5 7.9 ± 0.3	$\begin{array}{c c} T=300k \\ \hline \\ sec \\ \hline \\ L_{nt},nm \\ \hline \\ 44\pm15 \\ 35\pm8 \\ 29\pm4.5 \\ 12.4\pm0.5 \\ 9.5\pm0.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$t=100$ L,nm $340 \pm 130$ $240 \pm 60$ $170 \pm 30$ $49.4 \pm 2.4$ $36.6 \pm 1.3$	years $L_{nt}$ ,nm $350 \pm 130$ $240 \pm 60$ $180 \pm 30$ $51.6 \pm 2.4$ $38.2 \pm 1.3$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

EB is grateful to The Royal Society for the UK Relocation Fellowship. This work has been supported by the Russian Foundation of Basic Research (YEL and AMP grants 06-02-81036-Bel, 05-02-17864; GSI and NGL grant 07-03-96604).

### REFERENCES

- Park, H., Park, J., Lim, A.K.L., Anderson, E.H., Alivisatos, A.P., and McEuen, P.L. (2000) Nanomechanical oscillations in a single-C60 transistor. *Nature*, 407: 57–60.
- Lozovik, Yu.E., Minogin, A.V., and Popov, A.M. (2003) Nanomachines based on carbon nanotubes. *Phys. Lett. A*, 313: 112–121.
- Popov, A.M, Bichoutskaia, E., Lozovik, Yu.E., and Kulish, A.S. (2007) Nanoelectromechanical systems based on multi-walled nanotubes:

Nanothermometer, nanorelay and nanoactuator. *Physica Status Solidi* (*a*), 204: 1911–1917.

- 4. Bichoutskaia, E., Popov, A.M, Lozovik, Yu.E., Ivanchenko, G.S., and Lebedev, N.G. (2007) Electromechanical nanothermometer. *Phys. Lett. A* (in print).
- Ivanchenko, G.S., and Lebedev, N.G. (2007) Electrical conductivity of double-walled carbon nanotubes in the framework of the Hubbard model. *Physics of the Solid State*, 49: 189–196.
- 6. Bichoutskaia, E., Heggie, M.I., Lozovik, Yu.E., and Popov, A.M. (2006) Diffusion of walls in double-walled nanotubes. *Fullerenes, Nanotubes and Carbon Nanostructures*, 14: 215–220.
- Bichoutskaia, E., Heggie, M.I., Lozovik, Yu.E., and Popov, A.M. (2006) Interwall interaction and elastic properties of double-wall carbon nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. B*, 73: 045435-1-9.